M



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



cul

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/727,248 11/29/2000		29/2000	Christopher A. Lee	ODS-20	4353
1473	7590	07/28/2003			
FISH & NE.			EXAMINER		
50TH FLOO		EAMERICAS	HOTALING, JOHN M		
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3713	
				DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					NK
•		Applica	ition No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4		09/727,	,248	LEE, CHRISTOPH	IER A.
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit	
		John M	Hotaling II	3713	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on t	he cover sheet v	with the correspondence ad	dress
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNITY IN THIS COMMUNITY IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNITY OF THE PROPERTY OF	NICATION.  as of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no amunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and by will, by statute, cause the a	event, however, may a statutory minimum of th I will expire SIX (6) MC	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s)	filed on <u>29 April 2003</u>	<u>3</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the praison of Claims	on for allowance exc ctice under <i>Ex parte</i>	ept for formal m <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to th C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are pending in the	e application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	are withdrawn from o	consideration.		·
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-46 is/are rejected.				,
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to rest	riction and/or election	n requirement.		
Applicati	ion Papers				
,—	The specification is objected to by t				•
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/ard				
	Applicant may not request that any o				,
11) 🗌	The proposed drawing correction fil			disapproved by the Examin	er.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are		Office action.		
12)∐	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			,	
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a clai	m for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of	•			
	1. Certified copies of the priori	ty documents have b	een received.		,
	2. Certified copies of the priori	ly documents have b	een received in	Application No	
* (	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copie application from the Inte See the attached detailed Office act</li> </ol>	rnational Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2(a))	).	Stage
14) 🗌 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	l application).
	The translation of the foreign I Acknowledgment is made of a clain				
Attachmen					
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	
J.S. Patent and	Frademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 09/727,248

Art Unit: 3713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-21 and 24-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Mir et al US Patent 6,358,150. An artisan of ordinary skill could easily determine by a reading of Mir that all of the instantly claimed subject matter is disclosed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/727,248

**Art Unit: 3713** 

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21, and 24-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mir et al US Patent 6,358,150.

Claims 22, 23, 45, and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mir et al US Patent 6,358,150 in view of LaDue US Patent 5,999,808. Mir lacks in specifically disclosing that the user equipment can be a cellular telephone or a handheld computer. Instead Mir discloses in column 3:25-31 that that the present invention is not limited to being practiced in connection with the system architecture described below and many other system architectures could be utilized. See also the rest of column 3. In an analogous invention to LaDue discloses a wireless gaming and gambling method and apparatus that could utilize wireless data communication networks such as cellular, paging and satellite networks. LaDue specifically discloses the use of cell phones and personnel digital assistants for use with this wireless gambling and gaming method. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the systems of Mir and LaDue given the motivation provided above by Mir that any system architecture for transmitting data could be used.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/29/03, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-46 under Brenner have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Mir.

Application/Control Number: 09/727,248

Art Unit: 3713

Conclusion

Page 4

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Hotaling II whose telephone number is 703 305 0780. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Valencia Martin-Wallace can be reached on (703) 308-4119. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 746 3236 for regular communications and 703 308-7769 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-7777.

John M Hotaling I July 27, 2003

. . .